## Lecture 3.

Recall: Schur's lemma:

- (1) Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be irreducible representations of an algebra  $A_1$  and  $Y: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  a nonzero intertwiner. Then Y is an isomorphism and  $V_1 \cong V_2$  as representations of  $A_1$ .
- (2) Let V be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of A over an algebraically closed field k, and  $Y:V \rightarrow V$  an intertwiner. Then  $Y = \lambda Id_V$  for some  $\lambda \in k$ .

Today: complete reducibility for C-representations of finite groups (and related guestions)

A representation  $\nabla$  of A is completely reducible if it is isomorphic to a direct sum of irreducible representations.

 $V \simeq W_1 \oplus ... \oplus W_n$ ,  $W_i$  irreducible

Det An algebra A is semisimple over k if every finite dimensional representation of A over k is completely reducible

Maschke's theorem. Let G be a finite group and k a field s.t. chark does not divide 161.

Then the algebra k[G] is semisimple: If V is a finite dimensional representation of G and  $W \subset V$  a subrepresentation, then there exists a subrepresentation  $W' \subset V$  s.f.  $V = W \oplus W'$  as representations.

Proof: Choose  $\hat{W}: W \ni \hat{W} = V$  any complement and let  $P: V \longrightarrow W$  as vector spaces be the projector along  $\hat{W}$ . Let  $\overline{P} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} p(g) Pp(g^1)$  where  $p: kiGJ \rightarrow EndV$ , let  $W' = ker \overline{P}$ .  $= > P |_{W} = Id_{W}$ if  $y \in V \Longrightarrow P(y) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} p(g) P p(g^{-1}) y \in W \Longrightarrow P^{2} = P$  is a projector onto W. If z EW=kerP=> p(h)z E W'  $\overline{P}_{g}(h)_{z} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} p(g) P_{g}(\overline{g}'h)_{z} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{k \in G} p(hk) P_{g}(k')_{z} = p(h) \overline{P(z)} = 0$   $k'' \in G \Rightarrow k'' = g'h \quad g = hk$  g'' = k''h''

Pef. Let V be a representation of A and WCV a subrepresentation let  $V_W$  be the quotient space of W-cosets: v+W,  $v\in V$ .

Then  $V_W$  is a representation of A by setting p(x)(v+W) = p(w)+WIf  $u\in v+W => p(x)(u+W) = p(x)(u)+W$  well defined because  $p(x)(u-v)\in W$ .  $\forall x\in A$ .

Remark. Since representations of G over k: chark does not divide |G| are completely reducible, any quotient representation  $V_W$  of G over k is also a subrepresentation:  $W' \simeq V_W$  and  $V \simeq W \oplus W'$ .

In purficular, k[G] the left regular representation is isomorphic to a divect sum of irreducible representations of G.

Remark. If G is a finite group and chark does not divide 161,-26then any irreducible representation of G occurs as a direct summand in the left regular representation k[6]. (Exercise). Example.  $A = \mathbb{C}[x]$ . All irreducibles are one-dimensional by Schur.  $P(x) = \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  =>  $\nabla_{\lambda}$  pairwise non-isomorphic  $\{\nabla_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}}$ Indecomposables? V of dim n  $p(x): V \to V$  any matrix  $\Rightarrow$  classified by Jordan normal forms Indecomposables  $\longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} = J_{n,\lambda}$  eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ Left regular representation  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ , and  $\langle x^3 \rangle = x^3 \mathbb{C}[x] \subset \mathbb{C}[x]$ Subrepresentation
Let  $V \simeq \mathbb{C}[x]/x^3 \mathbb{C}[x]$  the quotient representation  $\dim V = \{1, x, x^2\}$ 

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \int_{0.13}^{\infty} in decomposable$$
 The subrepresentation  $\{x^2\} = W$ 

 $\forall rs indecomposable, W \subset V not irreducible$  => C[x] is not semisimple.

 $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{V}$ 

ivreducible indecomposables

Converse to Maschke's theorem. Let be a field and G a finite group. If any finite dimensional representation of G is completely reducible, then chark does not divide 161.

Proof. k[G] = V left regulær

Let  $Y: V \rightarrow k$  linear map, Y(g) = 1  $\forall g \in G$ . homomorphism  $k[G] \rightarrow k$  trivial

-28-Then ker & is G-invariant (recall the proof of Schur's lemma). Suppose V-k[G] is completely reducible => V= ker 4 D U  $\ker \varphi = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{9} \frac{1}{9$ =>  $\dim \mathcal{U} = 1$  =>  $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathcal{U}\}$  spanned by a single vector  $u \in k[G]$  ckerl  $u = \sum \mu_g g \Rightarrow p(x) \cdot u - u = \sum \mu_g x_g - \sum \mu_g g = \sum \mu_g (x_g - g) \in kerl \mathcal{U}$   $M_S \in k$   $\in \mathcal{U}$   $\in \mathcal{U}$   $\in \mathcal{U}$  $Coef. of 1 in u: = M, = Mx^{-1} \forall x \in G \Rightarrow u = M, \sum_{g \in G} g$ Then  $\Upsilon(u) = M$ ,  $\Upsilon(\Sigma g) = M$ ,  $|G| \neq 0$  since  $u \notin \ker \Upsilon$ .  $\Rightarrow |G| \neq 0$  in k. 

Example 
$$G = C_3 = \{t: t^3 = 1\}$$
, over  $F_3$ 

 $\mathbb{F}_3\left[C_3\right]$  regular representation: in the basis  $\{1,t,t^2\}$ 

$$p(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \implies -\lambda^3 + 1 = 0 \implies \lambda = 1 \text{ in } F_3 \text{ the only eigenvalue.}$$

The only eigenvector = (1)= v subrepresentation.

Find  $(A-\lambda I)^2 v' = 0 \Rightarrow v' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ;  $(A-\lambda I)^3 v'' = 0 \Rightarrow v'' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$  generalized eigenvectors

=  $\{v\} \subset \{v,v'\} \subset \{v,v',v''\} = V = F_3[C_3]$  indecomposable

$$p(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ in the basis } \{v, v', v''\}.$$

=> F<sub>3</sub> [C<sub>3</sub>] is not semisimple.

Def. A representation  $\rho$  of G in a C-vector space V is unitary if V has a hermitian inner product invariant under the action of G:  $\langle v, w \rangle = \langle \rho(g)v, \rho(g)w \rangle \quad \forall v, w \in V, \forall g \in G.$ 

Proposition. Let  $p: G \to GL(V)$  be a complex representation of a finite group. Then there exists an inner product  $\langle , \rangle$  on V that is G-invariant

Proof. Let  $\langle u, v \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \langle p(g)u, p(g)v \rangle_o$  is G-invariant  $\langle p(L)u, p(h)v \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \langle p(hg)u, p(hg)v \rangle_o = \langle u, v \rangle_o$ 

=> Any C-representation of a finite group is unitary.

Theorem (Weel's unitary trick)

Finite dimensional unitary representation of any group is completely reducible.

Proof: let V be a unitary representation of G and Wa subrepresentation

Let  $v \in W^{\perp}$  with the G-ivariant inner product,  $\forall w \in W$ . Then  $\langle p(g)v, w \rangle = \langle p(g)v, p(g)p(g')w \rangle = \langle v, p(g')w \rangle = 0$ 

=>  $p(g)v \in W^{\perp}$  for any  $v \in W', g \in G$ . =>  $W^{\perp}C$  Vis a subsepres.

=> V=W & W des G-representations.

Continuing with W and W terminates in an irreducible

decomposition, since V is finite dimensional.

Moreover, we get an orthogonal decomposition into the irreducible components.

Conclusion: Together with the result that any C-representation of a finite group is unitary, this provides an alternative proof of complete reducibility of finite dimensional complex representations of a finite group.