

The exercise marked by ♠ is this week's bonus exercise. You can hand in your LaTeX-solutions on Moodle until Wednesday the 13th of November at 6pm sharp.

Throughout, we only work with commutative rings.

Exercise 1. Let $R = k[x, y]$ be the polynomial ring in two variables over an algebraically closed field k . Recall that an ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ in a ring R is maximal if it is not properly contained in any other proper ideal of R . In this exercise you can use freely the Theorem below, which will be proven later in the course.

Theorem (The weak Nullstellensatz in two variables). *Let k be an algebraically closed field. Every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ in the ring $k[x, y]$ is of the form $\mathfrak{m} = (x - a, y - b)$ for some $a, b \in k$.*

Show the following:

- (1) If M is a finite length module over R , then the quotients of its composition series are of the form $R/(x - a, y - b)$.
- (2) If M is a module such that $\text{Ann}(M) \supseteq (x - a, y - b)$, then $\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}^i(M, N)) \supseteq (x - a, y - b)$ for every R -module N .
[Hint: Consider the multiplication by $x - a$ resp. $y - b$ on M and the induced maps on $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$. Recall also Exercise 7 of Sheet 4.]
- (3) If N is any finitely generated module over R , then $\text{Ext}^i(R/(x - a, y - b), N)$ has finite length.
[Hint: Use the previous point.]
- (4) For every finite length module M and for every finitely generated module N over R , $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ has finite length.
[Hint: Use the long exact sequence for a composition series.]

Exercise 2. Let $R = k[x, y]$ be as in the previous exercise (k is algebraically closed). We say that a finite length module is supported at $(x - a, y - b)$ if only $R/(x - a, y - b)$ appears as quotients in the composition series. Show that if M is a finite length module supported at $(x - a, y - b)$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R/(x - a', y - b')) = 0$ for all $(a', b') \neq (a, b)$.

Exercise 3. Show using the long exact sequence of cohomology that if $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0$, then every extension $0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$ splits.

Exercise 4. ♠ Let $R = k[x, y]$, and let $M = R/(x, y)$.

- (1) Show that $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, M) \cong M^2$.

Note that there is canonical bijection $k \rightarrow M$, sending $\lambda \in k$ to the class of the constant polynomial λ modulo (x, y) . In particular, there is also a natural bijection $k^2 \rightarrow M^2$.

- (2) For a given $(\lambda, \mu) \in k^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, define

$$N_{\lambda, \mu} = R/(x^2, y^2, xy, \lambda y - \mu x),$$

let $\varphi: N_{\lambda, \mu} \rightarrow M$ be the map induced by the quotient map $R \rightarrow M$, and let $\psi: M \rightarrow N_{\lambda, \mu}$ be the map sending the class of 1 to the class of $-(\lambda x + \mu y)$, where $a, b \in k$ are any elements such that $\lambda a + \mu b = 1$.

Then show that the Yoneda extension associated to $(\lambda, \mu) \in k^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ is isomorphic to the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\psi} N_{\lambda, \mu} \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \rightarrow 0.$$

- (3) Under what conditions on (λ, μ) and (λ', μ') do we have an isomorphism $N_{\lambda, \mu} \cong N_{\lambda', \mu'}$?
Hint: Think about torsion.

Exercise 5. Let $R = k[x, y]$.

- (1) Show that $\text{Ext}^1((x, y), R/(x, y)) \neq 0$.
- (2) Construct a finitely generated module M such that $\text{Tors}(M) \subseteq M$ is not a direct summand.

[*Note:* For M finitely generated over a PID R , $\text{Tors}(M) \subseteq M$ is always a direct summand by the fundamental theorem for finitely generated modules over PIDs.]

Exercise 6. Throughout this exercise, R will be a ring and M, N will be R -modules. We will now see another way to compute the Ext-modules than the one we saw in the lectures (one may say a 'dual' way). To do so, we need the following Lemma, which you may use without proof.

Lemma 1.¹ *For every R -module N there exists an injective R -module homomorphism $N \rightarrow I$ where I is an injective R -module.*

- (1) Using the above Lemma, show that any R -module N admits an injective resolution. That is, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{i^{-1}} I^0 \xrightarrow{i^0} I^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

where I^b is an injective R -module for all $b \geq 0$ (the numbers in superscript are just indices, *not* exponents of any sort).

- (2) Show that an R -module I is injective if and only if $\text{Hom}_R(-, I)$ is exact.
[Reminder: By Lemma 5.2.2 of the lecture notes $\text{Hom}_R(-, I)$ is always left exact.]

¹This is not too hard to prove but it needs some preparation. It boils down to proving the result for $R = \mathbb{Z}$ using Bear's criterion, and then generalizing it to any ring by some trickery.

where $d_{a,b} = - \circ p_{a+1}$ and $\delta_{a,b} = i^b \circ -$ for all $a, b \geq -1$. Briefly justify that this is indeed commutative, and that all columns and lines of the diagram which are not blue are exact.

- [Hint: Let $C^0 := \text{Hom}_R(P_0, I^0)$ and $C^1 = \text{Hom}_R(P_1, I^0) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(P_0, I^1)$, and let $\Delta^0 : C^0 \rightarrow C^1$ be the map sending $x \in C^0$ to $(d_{0,0}(x), \delta_{0,0}(x)) \in C^1$. Show that the cohomology groups in question both embed into $\text{coker}(\Delta^0)$ and that their images therein coincide.]

[*Remark:* One can generalize the above results and prove that in fact $H^i(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, I^\bullet)) \cong H^i(\mathrm{Hom}_R(P_\bullet, N))$ for all $i \geq 0$, and thus the Ext-modules may also be computed by using an injective resolution of the second module. To do so, one defines the modules $C^m := \bigoplus_{a+b=m} \mathrm{Hom}_R(P_a, I^b)$ and connecting maps $\Delta^m : C^m \rightarrow C^{m+1}$ similar to Δ^0 , where one replaces $\delta_{a,b}$ by $(-1)^a \delta_{a,b}$ to ensure $\Delta^{m+1} \circ \Delta^m = 0$. We thus obtain a complex $C^\bullet$, and one can then prove that $H^i(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, I^\bullet))$ and $H^i(\mathrm{Hom}_R(P_\bullet, N))$ embed into $H^i(C^\bullet)$ with equal image.]