

Exercise 1. For two short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow M_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow N_1 \longrightarrow N_2 \longrightarrow N_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

we say that there is a map between them if there exists morphisms $f_i : M_i \rightarrow N_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & M_2 & \longrightarrow & M_3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_2 & & \downarrow f_3 & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N_1 & \longrightarrow & N_2 & \longrightarrow & N_3 & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Show that whenever there is a map between two short exact sequences, then there is an induced map between long exact sequences of Ext-modules, making the suitable diagram commute.

Exercise 2. In this exercise we prove the two *4-lemmas*. To this end, suppose that we have a commuting diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f_1} & B & \xrightarrow{f_2} & C & \xrightarrow{f_3} & D \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow c & & \downarrow d \\ A' & \xrightarrow{f'_1} & B' & \xrightarrow{f'_2} & C' & \xrightarrow{f'_3} & D' \end{array}$$

- (1) Show that if a and c are surjective and d is injective, then b is an surjective.
- (2) Show that if b and d are injective and a is surjective, then c is a injective.

Exercise 3. (1) Set $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Find all the submodules (i.e. ideals) of $R = k[G]$.¹

[Hint: To understand $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}]$ in terms of more common rings, it might be a good idea to look for ring morphisms $\mathbb{F}_p[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}]$ and investigate both kernel and image.]

- (2) For $p = 2$, let x denote a generator of G and set $M = (x + 1) \subseteq k[G]$. Compute $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, M)$ for all $i \geq 0$.

¹Recall that $k[G]$ is defined as the set of functions $f : G \rightarrow k$, where addition is defined pointwise, and multiplication is defined by convolution:

$$(f \cdot g)(z) := \sum_{\substack{x, y \in G \\ xy = z}} f(x)g(y) \quad \text{for all } f, g \in k[G], z \in G.$$

Now for $g \in G$, let $\delta_g \in k[G]$ be the function taking the value 1 at g and 0 everywhere else. Then $\{\delta_g\}_{g \in G}$ is a k -basis of $k[G]$. Furthermore, one can verify that the map $g \mapsto \delta_g$ is an injective group morphism $G \hookrightarrow k[G]^\times$ (in particular, $1_{k[G]} = \delta_{e_G}$). Usually people just write g instead of δ_g by abuse of notation, but as the underlying sets of $\mathbb{F}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ coincide, it is probably better to distinguish them in the notation.

Exercise 4. In this exercise we define injective modules and prove *Baer's criterion*. Let R be a (not necessarily commutative) ring; any R -module and any R -morphism appearing in this exercise will be a left R -module resp. a morphism of left R -modules.

We say that an R -module Q is injective if it satisfies the following property:

Whenever we have an injective R -morphism $f : X \hookrightarrow Y$ and an R -morphism $g : X \rightarrow Q$, then there exists an R -morphism $h : Y \rightarrow Q$ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xhookrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow g & \nearrow h & \\ Q & & \end{array}$$

We will prove the following:

Theorem (Baer's Criterion). *Suppose that the left R -module Q has the property that if I is any left ideal of R and $f : I \rightarrow Q$ is an R -morphism, there exists an R -morphism $F : R \rightarrow Q$ extending f . Then Q is an injective R -module.*

We will prove *Baer's criterion* in several steps. Assume that the R -module Q satisfies Baer's criterion.

- (1) Let X, Y be R -modules, and assume that Y is *cyclic* (generated by $b \in Y$). Let $f : X \hookrightarrow Y$ be an injective R -morphism. Show that for every R -morphism $g : X \rightarrow Q$, there exists an R -morphism $h : Y \rightarrow Q$ making the appropriate diagram commute.
[*Hint*: Identify X with a submodule of Y and consider the subset I of R defined by $I = \{r \in R : rb \in X\}$.]
- (2) Let X, Y be left R -modules with an injective R -morphism $f : X \hookrightarrow Y$ (we identify X with its image under f). Let $b \in Y$ be arbitrary. With a similar approach as in the previous point, prove that any R -morphism $g : X \rightarrow Q$ can be extended to an R -morphism $h : X + Rb \rightarrow Q$ making the appropriate diagram commute.
- (3) Use *Zorn's Lemma* to conclude the proof.

Axiom 1 (Zorn's Lemma / Axiom of Choice). If $(\mathcal{P}, \leq)$ is a partially ordered set with the property that every totally ordered subset (often called a chain) has an upper bound, then there exists a maximal $M \in \mathcal{P}$. (that is, for $N \in \mathcal{P}$, we have $M \not\leq N$)

[*Hint*: Try to think of what it means for one partial extension of $g : X \rightarrow Q$ to be smaller than another.]

Exercise 5. Use Baer's Criterion to show that $\mathbb{Q}$ is an injective $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.