## Algebra MATH-310

Lecture 10

Anna Lachowska

November 25, 2024

#### Plan of the course

- Integers: 1 lecture
- ② Groups: 6 lectures
- Rings and fields: 5 lectures
- Review: 1 lecture

## Today: Rings: lecture 3

- (a) Chinese remainder theorem.
- (b) CRT for integers.
- (c) Degree of a polynomial.
- (d) Euclidean division for polynomials.
- (e) Euclidean domains.

# Recall: ring homomorphism and the direct product of rings

#### Definition

A map  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a ring homomorphism if

- f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b),
- $f(a \cdot b) = f(a) \cdot f(b)$ ,
- $f(1_A) = 1_B$ .

A bijective ring homomorphism is a ring isomorphism.

#### Definition

A direct product of two rings A, B is defined as the set of pairs

$$A \times B = \{(a, b), a \in A, b \in B\}$$

with component-wise operations and the neutral elements  $(0_A, 0_B)$ ,  $(1_A, 1_B)$ .

November 24, 2024

## Today: the Chinese remainder theorem

If a collection of balls are arranged in rows of 3, there is one ball left over

Sunzi Suanjing

3rd-5th century

CE.



If arranged in rows of 5, there are two balls left over



If arranged in rows of 7, there are three balls left over



What is the minimal number of balls? See the solution

## Chinese remainder theorem

#### **Theorem**

Let A be a commutative ring,  $I, J \subset A$  two ideals such that I + J = A. Then there is a ring isomorphism

$$f: A/(I \cap J) \simeq A/I \times A/J$$
$$f([x]_{I \cap J)}) = ([x]_I, [x]_J).$$

Proof:

(i) 
$$f$$
 is a ring homomorphism:

$$f: \quad X \longrightarrow ([x]_{I}, [x]_{J})$$

$$\in_{A}$$

$$1 \longrightarrow ([1]_{I}, [1]_{J})$$

$$0 \longrightarrow ([0]_{T}, [0]_{T})$$

and the ring operations are respected.

Chinese remainder theorem

(2) Surjectivity. Show that 
$$\forall a_{1,a_{2} \in A} \exists a \in A \text{ s.f. } a \equiv a_{1} \pmod{I}, a \equiv a_{2} \pmod{I}$$
  
Since  $I + J = A \Rightarrow a_{1} - a_{2} = -i + j \iff a_{1} + i = a_{2} + j = a \in A$   
 $\in A \iff \exists a \in I \implies a_{1} + i = a_{2} + j = a \in A$   
 $= \Rightarrow f : x \rightarrow ([x]_{I}, [x]_{J}) \text{ is surjective.}$ 

(3) Injectivity. Suppose  $b \in A : b \equiv a, (mod I), b \equiv a_2 (mod I)$   $\Rightarrow b = a_1 + i' = a_2 + j' \Rightarrow a - b = i - i' = j - j'$   $\Rightarrow a - b \in I \cap J$   $\Rightarrow f : A/I \cap J \rightarrow A/I \times A/J \text{ is injective.}$   $\Rightarrow f : A/I \cap J \rightarrow A/I \times A/J \text{ is a ring isomorphism.}$ 

4□ → 4□ → 4 = → 4 = → 9 < 0</p>

A. Lachowska Algebra Le

## The case $A = \mathbb{Z}$ .

## Corollary

Let  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  be coprime: gcd(n, m) = 1. Then for any numbers  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  there exist  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} a\equiv a_1\ (\mathrm{mod}\ n)\\ a\equiv a_2\ (\mathrm{mod}\ m) \end{array}\right.$$

The set of solutions of this pair of congruences is  $\{a + (nm)\mathbb{Z}\}$ .

A. Lachowska Algebra Lecture 10

7 / 22

## Generalization to n > 2 for $A = \mathbb{Z}$

#### **Theorem**

Let  $d_1, d_2, \ldots d_r \in \mathbb{Z}$  be pairwise coprime, i.e.  $\gcd(d_i, d_j) = 1$  for any  $i \neq j$ . Then for any numbers  $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_r \in \mathbb{Z}$  there exist  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} a \equiv a_1 \pmod{d_1} \\ a \equiv a_2 \pmod{d_2} \\ \dots \\ a \equiv a_r \pmod{d_r} \end{cases}$$

The number  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  is unique up to the ideal  $(d_1d_2 \dots d_r) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ . The set of solutions is given by  $\{a + (d_1d_2 \dots d_r)\mathbb{Z}\}$ .

Proof: by induction on r.

See rings, pdf

4□ > 4□ > 4 = > 4 = > = 90

## Example.

Find  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} a \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ a \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \\ a \equiv 3 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

See rings polf for an algorithm to solve systems of congruences.

- 1 Explain why there is a solution. By CRT, since 3,5,7 are pairwise coprime
- ② Describe the set of all integer solutions.
- Find the smallest positive solution.

$$\alpha = 3k + 1 = 5t + 2$$
, for example  $a = 7$   
 $\begin{cases} \alpha \equiv 7 \pmod{15} & \alpha = 15m + 7 = 7n + 3 = 3 = 52 \\ \alpha \equiv 3 \pmod{7} & 7n - 15m = 4 & m = 3, n = 7 \end{cases}$   
 $\Rightarrow \alpha = \begin{cases} 52 + 105 \ 2 \end{cases}$  all solutions  $105 = 3.5.7.$   
the smallest positive solution  $15 = 3.5.7.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臺▶ ◆臺▶ ○臺 ● 今へで

# Application: multiplicativity of the totient function $\varphi(n)$

#### **Definition**

Let A be a commutative ring. Then its invertible elements with respect to the multiplication form a group that is called the group of units and denoted  $A^*$ .

Example: 
$$A = \mathbb{Z}$$
.  $\Rightarrow$   $\mathbb{Z}^* = \{ \pm i \}$ 

Remark: If  $A \simeq B$  are isomorphic rings, then their groups of units are also isomorphic:  $A^* \simeq B^*$ .

# Application: multiplicativity of the totient function $\varphi(n)$

#### **Theorem**

Let  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that gcd(n, m) = 1. Then  $\varphi(nm) = \varphi(n)\varphi(m)$ .

Proof: By 
$$CRP$$
  $\mathbb{Z}_{nm\mathbb{Z}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m\mathbb{Z}} = >$ 

$$(\mathbb{Z}_{nm\mathbb{Z}})^{+} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m\mathbb{Z}})^{+} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}})^{+} \times (\mathbb{Z}_{m\mathbb{Z}})^{+} \text{ divent product of groups of units}$$

$$| (\mathbb{Z}_{nm\mathbb{Z}})^{+}| = \mathcal{C}_{(nm)}, |(\mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}})^{+}| = \mathcal{C}_{(n)}, |(\mathbb{Z}_{m\mathbb{Z}})^{+}| = \mathcal{C}_{(m)}$$

$$=> \mathcal{C}_{(mn)} = \mathcal{C}_{(n)} \mathcal{C}_{(m)}$$

prime factorization: {Pi} are distinct primes.

This can be used to compute  $\varphi(p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2}\dots p_k^{a_k}) = \varphi(p_1^{a_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot \varphi(p_k^{a_k}) = (p_i^{a_i} - p_i^{a_{i-1}})(p_2^{a_2} - p_1^{a_{i-1}}) \cdot (p_k^{a_k} - p_k^{a_{k-1}}) = (p_i^{a_k} - p_i^{a_{k-1}})(p_2^{a_k} - p_k^{a_{k-1}}) = (p_i^{a_k} - p_k^{a_{k-1}})(p_2^{a_k} - p_k^{a_{k-1}})(p_2^{a_k} - p_k^{a_{k-1}}) = (p_i^{a_k} - p_k^{a_{k-1}})(p_2^{a_k} - p_k^{a_{k-1}})(p_2^{a_k} - p_k^{a_{k-1}})(p_2^{a_k} - p_k^{a_{k-1}})(p_2^{a_k} - p_k^{a_{k-1}})(p_2^{a_k} - p_k^{a_{k-1}})(p_2^{a_k} - p_k^{a_k})(p_2^{a_k} - p_k$ 

## Converse to the Chinese remainder theorem

#### **Theorem**

$$\mathbb{Z}/(nm)\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \Longleftrightarrow \gcd(n,m) = 1.$$

Proof: 
$$gcd(n,m)=1 \Rightarrow by CRT U_{nm}Z \simeq U_{n}Z \times U_{m}Z$$

If  $U_{nm}Z \simeq U_{n}Z \times U_{m}Z \Rightarrow \tau(U_{mn}Z) = \tau(U_{n}Z) \Rightarrow nm = lcm(n,m)$ 

$$hm = lcm(n,m) \iff gcd(n,m)=1$$

### Examples:

$$\gcd(S,/6)=1$$

$$\mathbb{Z}/80\mathbb{Z} \approx \mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}/80\mathbb{Z} \not\thickapprox \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\gcd(\ell_1 \mid 0) = 2$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \gcd(6,9)=3 & \gcd(2,27)=1 \\ \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z} & \swarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/27\mathbb{Z}\simeq \mathbb{Z}_{54\mathbb{Z}} \\ \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z} & \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z} \\ \gcd(2,3)=1 \end{array}$$

### Poll:

Let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n, m \ge 2$  and p is a prime such that  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ ,  $\gcd(n, p) = 1$  and  $\gcd(m, p) = 1$ . Then

- A:  $\varphi(p^2nm) = \varphi(pn)\varphi(pm)$
- B:  $\varphi(p^2 nm) > \varphi(pn)\varphi(pm)$ 
  - C:  $\varphi(p^2nm) < \varphi(pn)\varphi(pm)$
  - D: The relation between  $\varphi(p^2nm)$  and  $\varphi(pn)\varphi(pm)$  depends on the numbers n, m, p.

### **Conclusions:**

• If A is a commutative ring and  $I, J \subset A$  two ideals such that I + J = A, then

$$A/I \times A/J \simeq A/(I \cap J)$$
.

 $\bullet \ \mathbb{Z}/(nm)\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \Longleftrightarrow \gcd(n,m) = 1.$ 

•  $\varphi(nm) = \varphi(n)\varphi(m) \iff \gcd(n, m) = 1.$ 

## Next goal: CRT for polynomial rings

#### **Definition**

Let A be a commutative ring. Then

$$A[x] = \{a_0 + a_1x + \ldots + a_nx^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad a_0, a_1, \ldots \in A$$

is the ring of polynomials with coefficients in A with respect to the usual addition and multiplication of polynomials. We have  $0 \in A[x]$  and  $1 \in A[x]$  same as in A.

#### Definition

If  $f(x) \in A[x]$  is nonzero, define the degree of

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \ldots + a_n x^n$$

as the largest  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_n \neq 0$ . Notation:  $\deg(f) = n$ . If f(x) = 0, we set  $\deg(f) = -\infty$ . If  $f(x) = a_0 \neq 0$ , then  $\deg(f) = 0$ .

4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 9 Q P

# Polynomials with coefficients in an integral domain

### Proposition

Let A be an integral domain. Then we have

#### Proof:

(1) 
$$dig(f+g) = max(digf, digg)$$
 unless  $digf = digg$  and  $a_n = -6n$   
Ex:  $(3x^5 + 2x^2 + 3x - 1) + (-3x^5 - 7x^4 + 2x^2 - 2) = -7x^4 + 4x^2 + 3x - 3$   
 $dig = 5$   $dig = 4$ 

(2) 
$$f(x) \cdot g(x) = (a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m)(b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m) = a_n b_m x^{n+m} + b_0 wer + b_0 x^m$$

$$(a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m)(b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m) = a_n b_m x^{n+m} + b_0 wer + b_0 x^m$$

$$(a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m)(b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m) = a_n b_m x^{n+m} + b_0 wer + b_0 x^m$$

$$(a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m)(b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m) = a_n b_m x^{n+m} + b_0 wer + b_0 x^m$$

$$(a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m)(b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m) = a_n b_m x^{n+m} + b_0 wer + b_0 x^m$$

$$(a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m)(b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m) = a_n b_m x^{n+m} + b_0 wer + b_0 x^m$$

$$(a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m)(b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m) = a_n b_m x^{n+m} + b_0 wer + b_0 x^m$$

$$(a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m)(b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m) = a_n b_m x^{n+m} + b_0 wer + b_0 x^m$$

$$(a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m)(b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m) = a_n b_0 x^m + a_1 b_0 x^m$$

$$(a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m)(b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m) = a_1 b_0 x^m$$

$$(a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m)(b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m) = a_1 b_0 x^m$$

$$(a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m)(b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m) = a_1 b_0 x^m$$

=> 
$$dig(f.g) = deg f + deg g$$
; If  $f(x) = 0 \Rightarrow deg f = -\infty$   
 $f(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x) = 0 \Rightarrow deg f \cdot g = -\infty + m = -\infty$   
 $digg$ 

# Polynomials with coefficients in an integral domain

## Proposition

Let A be an integral domain. Then

- A[x] is an integral domain.
- ② The units (invertible elements) of A[x] are the units of A.

### Proof:

(1) 
$$f(x) \cdot g(x) = 0$$
 <=>  $dig(f \cdot g) = -\infty$  =  $digf + digg <=>  $\int digf = -\infty$  <=>  $f(x) = 0$  or  $g(x) = 0$ .$ 

(b) 
$$f(x) \cdot g(x) = 1$$
 (=>  $deg(f \cdot g) = 0 = deg(f + deg(g) => ) deg(f = deg(g) = 0).$   
=>  $f(x) = a_0$ ,  $g(x) = b_0$  s.f.  $a_0 \cdot b_0 = 1 \in A$   
=>  $a_0$ ,  $b_0$  are units in  $A$ .

Examples: R[x], Q[x], Z[x] integral domains;  $Z_{6Z}[x]$  is not:  $2x \cdot 3x = 0$ 

A. Lachowska Algebra Lecture 10 November 24, 2024

# Euclidean division for polynomials in $\mathbb{F}[x]$

#### **Theorem**

<u>Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field</u>. Let  $f(x), d(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  such that  $\deg(d) \geq 1$ . Then there exist polynomials  $d(x), r(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  such that

$$f(x) = q(x)d(x) + r(x),$$

and either r(x) = 0, or deg(r) < deg(d).

Proof: If 
$$deg f < deg d \Rightarrow f(x) = O \cdot d(x) + f(x) \Rightarrow f(x) = \Gamma(x)$$
  
If  $deg f \geqslant deg d \Rightarrow f(x) = a_0 + \cdots + a_m x^m$ ,  $d(x) = b_0 + \cdots + b_n x^n$ ,  $n \le m$   
 $\Rightarrow f(x) - d(x) \cdot \frac{a_m}{b_n} x^{m-n} = P_1(x)$ ,  $deg P_1 < deg f$ .  
If  $deg P_1 > deg d$ , repeat  $\Rightarrow f(x) - d(x) \frac{d_m}{b_n} x^{m-n} - dx \frac{a_{m-1}}{b_n} x^{m-n-1}$ .  
 $enfil deg (f - d(x)g(x)) < deg d \Rightarrow f(x) = d(x)g(x) + \Gamma(x)$   
 $deg r < deg d$ .

# Euclidean division for polynomials in $\mathbb{F}[x]$

The degree is strictly decreasing => the process terminates.

**W** 

Example: 
$$f(x) = 3x^5 + x^3 - 2x^2 + 1$$
,  $d(x) = x^2 - 2 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ .

$$-\frac{3x^5 + x^3 - 2x^2 + 1}{3x^5 - 6x^3} \frac{|x^2 - 2|}{|3x^3 + 7x - 2|}$$

$$-\frac{7x^3 - 2x^2 + 1}{7x^3 - |4x|} \Rightarrow q(x) = 3x^3 + 7x - 2$$

$$-2x^2 + |4|$$

$$-2x^2 + 4|$$

$$1 = deg \ r < deg \ d = 2$$

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臺▶ ◆臺▶ · 臺 · ∽Q♡

### Euclidean domains

#### Definition

A commutative ring A is a Euclidean domain if

- A is an integral domain,
- 2 There exist a function  $\nu: A \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $a, b \in A$ ,  $b \neq 0$ , there exist  $q, r \in A$  such that a = qb + r and either r = 0, or  $\nu(r) < \nu(b)$ .

### Examples:

- ①  $\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\nu(n) = |n| \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\alpha = 6g + r$ , |r| < |6|
- ② Any field with  $\nu : \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N}$  any function  $\alpha = \theta_{q} + \theta_{q}, r = 0$
- $\bullet^*\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a+bi\}_{a,b\in\mathbb{Z}}$  with  $\nu(a+ib) = a^2 + b^2$ . (not a part of the course). Gaussian integers

### Euclidean domains

### Proposition

A Euclidean domain is a PID (principal ideal domain).

Proof: Let 
$$E$$
 be a Euclidean domain,  $I$  c  $E$  can ideal.

If  $I = \{0\}$ , then  $I = \{0\}$ , done.

If  $I \neq \{0\}$  let  $d \in I$ ,  $d \neq 0$  s.t.  $V(d)$  is the minimum on  $I$ .

Suppose  $a \in I \Rightarrow J$   $g, r$ :  $a = gd + r \Rightarrow r \in I \Rightarrow V(r) \geq V(d)$ 
 $f \in I$ 
 $f \in$ 

#### **Conclusions**

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field. Then the ring  $\mathbb{F}[x]$  is a PID, meaning that any ideal in  $\mathbb{F}[x]$  is generated by a single element.

Vnonzero elt admit euclidean división ideals gen by sell no nontrivial zero divisors multiplication is commutative. Fields  $\subset$  Euclidean domains  $\subset$  PID  $\subset$  Integral domains  $\subset$  Comm. rings  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$   $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}[x]$   $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[x]$   $\mathbb{R}[x,y]$   $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$   $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , prime  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{19}}{2}\right]$  PID, not Euclidean