## Exercise Sheet Solutions #12

Course Instructor: Ethan Ackelsberg Teaching Assistant: Felipe Hernández

**P1.** Let  $(X, \mu, T)$  a measure space. We say that  $(f_n)_n$  converges in measure to f if given  $\epsilon > 0$  we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(\lbrace x \mid |f(x) - f_n(x)| > \epsilon \rbrace) = 0.$ 

(a) Assume that  $\mu(X) < \infty$ . Show that the sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges in measure to f if and only if every subsequence of  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  has a further subsequence that converges a.e. to f.

**Solution:** ( $\iff$ ) If we assume that every subsequence of  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  has a further subsequence that converges a.e. to f. We notice that  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converge in measure to f if and only if every subsequence of  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  has a further subsequence that converges to f in measure (by characterization of convergent sequences in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Thus, we can assume without loss of generality that  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges a.e. to f. Let  $A=\{x\in X\mid f_n(x)\to f(x)\}$ . Then  $\mu(X \setminus A) = 0$ . Since  $\mu(X) < \infty, \mu(A) < \infty$  and we may apply Egoroff's theorem. Thus, there exists a set E such that  $\mu(A \setminus E) < \epsilon$  and  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on E. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists N such that  $|f(x) - f_n(x)| < \epsilon$  for all n > N and all  $x \in E$ . So, for  $n > \epsilon$  $N, |f(x) - f_n(x)|$  can be greater than  $\epsilon$  only on  $(A \setminus E) \cup (X \setminus A)$ . This means that

$$\mu\left(\left\{x\left|\left|f_n(x) - f(x)\right| > \epsilon\right\}\right) \le \mu(A \setminus E) + \mu(X \setminus A)$$

$$< \epsilon + 0 = \epsilon$$

for all n > N.

 $(\Longrightarrow)$  We assume that  $f_n \to f$  in measure. Let  $\epsilon = 2^{-k}$ . Given k, there exists N(k) such

$$\mu\left(\left\{x \in X \left| |f(x) - f_n(x)| > 2^{-k} \right.\right\} < 2^{-k}\right)\right)$$

Let  $E_k = \{x \in X \mid |f_{N(k)}(x) - f(x)| > 2^{-k}\}$ . Then  $\mu(E_k) < 2^{-k}$ . If  $x \notin \bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} E_i$ , then  $x \in (\bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} E_i)^c = \bigcap_{i=k}^{\infty} E_i^c$ . For such x we have

$$|f_{N(i)}(x) - f(x)| < 2^{-i}$$
 for every  $i \ge k$ 

which implies  $f_{N(i)}(x) \to f(x)$ .

$$A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} E_i.$$

So if  $x \notin A$ , then  $f_{N(i)}(x) \to f(x)$ . For any k,  $\mu(A) \le \mu\left(\cup_{i=k}^{\infty} E_i\right)$  so  $\mu(A)=0$ , concluding.

$$\mu(A) \le \mu(\bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} E_i) \le \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} 2^{-i} = 2^{-k+1},$$

**(b)** What happens if  $\mu(X) = \infty$ ?

Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}$  with the Lebesgue measure, and the functions  $f_n = \mathbb{1}_{[n,n+1]}$ . Then,  $f_n \to 0$  poinwise, but  $\{x \in X \mid |f_n(x)| = 1\}$  has measure 1 for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This shows that  $(\longleftarrow)$  becomes false if we do not assume that  $\mu(X) < \infty$ . On the other hand, we never used the hypothesis  $\mu(X) < \infty$  in  $(\Longrightarrow)$ , so this implication is still true.

**P2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space, and let  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  be two measures such that  $\nu \ll \mu$  and  $g = \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial u}$ . Prove that if  $g \in L^p(\mu)$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then

$$\nu(A) \le ||g||_{L^p(\mu)} \mu(A)^{1/q},$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

**Solution:** We have by Holder's inequality that

$$\begin{split} \nu(A) &= \int_A g d\mu \\ &= \int \mathbbm{1}_A \cdot g d\mu \\ &\leq ||\mathbbm{1}_A||_q \cdot ||g||_p = \mu(A)^{1/q} ||g||_p, \end{split}$$

**P3.** Let  $(X,\mathcal{B})$  be a measurable space, and let  $\mu:\mathcal{B}\to[-\infty,\infty]$  be a signed measure with total variation  $|\mu|$ . Then for any  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$|\mu|(E) = \inf\{\nu(E) : \nu \text{ is a measure and } |\mu(F)| \le \nu(F) \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

$$= \sup\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(E_n)| : E = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n\right\}.$$

**Solution:** We prove the first equality. As  $|\mu_+ - \mu_-| \le \mu_+ + \mu_-$  we have that  $|\mu| = \mu_+ + \mu_$ participates in the infimum, and thus

$$|\mu|(E) \ge \inf\{\nu(E) : \nu \text{ is a measure and } |\mu(F)| \le \nu(F) \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

On the other hand, for  $\nu$  a measure such that  $|\mu(F)| \leq \nu(F)$  for each  $F \in \mathcal{B}$ . By Hahn's theorem, there is a partition  $X = P \sqcup N$  such that  $\mu_+$  is supported on P and  $\mu_-$  is supported on N. Thus, for each  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ 

$$|\mu|(A)=\mu_+(A)+\mu_-(A)=|\mu(A\cap P)|+|\mu(A\cap N)|\leq \nu(A\cap P)+\nu(A\cap N)=\nu(A).$$
 As  $\nu$  was arbitrary, we conclude

$$|\mu|(E) \leq \inf\{\nu(E) : \nu \text{ is a measure and } |\mu(F)| \leq \nu(F) \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$
 Now, we show the second equality. Let  $E = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then

$$|\mu|(E) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\mu|(E_n) \ge \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\mu(E_n)|.$$

Taking the supremum gives

$$|\mu|(E) \ge \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(E_n)| : E = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n \right\}$$

$$|\mu|(E) \ge \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu\left(E_{n}\right)| : E = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{n} \right\}.$$
 On the other hand, we have that 
$$|\mu|(E) = \mu(E \cap P) - \mu(E \cap N) = |\mu(E \cap P)| + |\mu(E \cap N)| \le \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu\left(E_{n}\right)| : E = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{n} \right\},$$
 concluding.