


November 7

Stokes theorem

Fourier Analysis

Yet another look at radial coordinates



- we observe:
- right side is what we want: parameterization of disk boundary
 - The integrals along the lines corresponding upper and lower part of the rectangle cancel out
on the physical disk, it's the same line but in different directions
 - The integral along the left-side is zero

Hence only the part corresponding to the physical boundary remains

If $\gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ parameterizes the boundary of the parameter domain
 $\Omega = (0,1) \times (0, 2\pi)$

Then $\Phi \circ \gamma$ maps the 4 boundary pieces into the disk

$$\int_{\Phi \circ \gamma} \vec{F} dl = \int_{\Phi \circ \Gamma_1} \vec{F} dl + \int_{\Phi \circ \Gamma_2} \vec{F} dl + \int_{\Phi \circ \Gamma_3} \vec{F} dl + \int_{\Phi \circ \Gamma_4} \vec{F} dl$$

physical boundary integral

$= 0$

cancel out, $= 0$

Summary of 3D vector analysis


- Divergence theorem in 3D
- Stokes' theorem in 3D

While the divergence theorem and Green's theorem in 2D are similar, the divergence theorem and Stokes' theorem in 3D are quite different.

Divergence in 3D

only for closed surfaces without boundary

For example: soap bubble



The surface splits
3D space into
inside and outside


Hence, we can
determine* which
unit normal points
outside

*in principle

Stokes' theorem in 3D

For surfaces in 3D with boundary. No inside and outside.

Whatever orientation (surface normal) we choose for the surface, the orientation of the boundary (tangent direction) must match.



Coda : change of variables, revisited

Suppose that $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ are two domains and
that $\mathcal{I} : X \rightarrow Y$ is differentiable and injective

If $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar function, then

$$\iint_{\mathcal{I}(X)} f(y) \, dy_1 \, dy_2 = \iint_X f(\mathcal{I}(x)) \cdot |\det D\mathcal{I}(x)| \, dx_1 \, dx_2$$

(Integral transformation formula)

Here, $|\det D\Phi(x)| = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 \Phi_1 & \partial_2 \Phi_1 \\ \partial_1 \Phi_2 & \partial_2 \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \right|$

This formula is similar to the formula for surface integrals

$$\iint_S f \, dG = \iint_{\Omega} f(\Phi(s,t)) \parallel \partial_s \Phi \times \partial_t \Phi \parallel \, ds dt$$

conceptually, the factor measures how Φ "stretches" the area

Suppose that $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ maps into x_1, x_2 -plane:

$$\Phi(s,t) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1(s,t), & \Phi_2(s,t), & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $S := \bar{\Phi}(\Omega)$ be the surface in the x_1, x_2 -plane. Then

$$\iint_S f(x_1, x_2, x_3) dG = \iint_{\Omega} f(\bar{\Phi}_1(s, t), \bar{\Phi}_2(s, t), 0) \underbrace{\|\partial_s \bar{\Phi} \times \partial_t \bar{\Phi}\|}_{\textcircled{*} =} ds dt$$

$\uparrow$
 $x_3 = 0$
 over S

$$\textcircled{*} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \partial_s \bar{\Phi}_1 \partial_t \bar{\Phi}_2 - \partial_s \bar{\Phi}_2 \partial_t \bar{\Phi}_1 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \partial_s \bar{\Phi}_1 \partial_t \bar{\Phi}_2 - \partial_s \bar{\Phi}_2 \partial_t \bar{\Phi}_1 \right\|$$

using definition of cross product
and that the third coordinate of
 $\bar{\Phi}$ is constant zero

$$\left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial_s \bar{\Phi}_1 & \partial_t \bar{\Phi}_1 \\ \partial_s \bar{\Phi}_2 & \partial_t \bar{\Phi}_2 \end{pmatrix} \right|$$

Moral of the story:

The formula for surface integrals

generalizes the change of variables formula

(changement de variable)

Second part of Analysis III

Fourier Analysis & Applications

- Extension of Analysis 1
- Preparation for signal processing
 - representation of signals by their frequencies
 - Application: audio, image processing
- Solution of ODEs & PDEs
 - ordinary differential equations
 - partial differential equations

1. Distribution theory

We develop a theory of generalized functions

1.1. Instead of point evaluations, we can "probe" functions via integration against other functions.

If $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, for example, we can "probe" it with an integral against some function $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$


$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) g(x) dx$$

Interpretation:

How does φ react with the signal g ?

For example, consider the Gaussian bump

$$g_\varepsilon(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\varepsilon^2}} \quad \varepsilon \text{ is a parameter}$$



First, we show that $g_\varepsilon(x)$ has integral one:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2/\varepsilon^2} \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{substitute } u = x/\varepsilon \\ du = dx/\varepsilon \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^2} \varepsilon du = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^2} du$$

Exercise $\rightarrow = 1$

Interpretation Since $g_\varepsilon(x)$ has integral 1, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) g_\varepsilon(x) dx$ is an average of function values of φ with some "weight" g_ε

As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the weight will be more concentrated around $x=0$.

We think of it as an averaged point evaluation.

If φ is continuous, then $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) g_\varepsilon(x) dx = \varphi(0)$

In many practical applications, we don't have access to point values of a signal, but only to "averages" like this or similar

Q: Does there exist a function $g_0: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with integral 1 such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_0(x) \varphi(x) dx = \varphi(0)$?

A: No, but there exists a generalized function with that property

Specifically, the Dirac-Delta at zero is the functional

$$\delta_0: C^0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f \mapsto f(0)$$

This is a functional, i.e., a real-valued function of functions, mapping each function to its value at $x=0$.

Moreover, its "integral" is the value of the constant function $f(x) \equiv 1$, which is $\delta_0(f) = 1$.


$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta_0 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta_0 \cdot 1 \, dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int g_\epsilon(x) 1 \, dx = 1 \right]$$

purely heuristic


Putting this on a more rigorous footing, we introduce distributions.

2. Definitions

In what follows, the support $\text{supp } f$ of a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the closure of $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$



$$\text{supp } f = [a, b]$$



$$\text{supp } f = [a, b] \cup [c, d]$$

Example: Consider the function

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/(1-x^2)} & -1 < x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The support of φ is the interval $[-1, 1]$, obviously.

What is not as obvious: the function φ has derivatives of all orders, that is, $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

