Date: 1 February 2024

Location: SG 1

ENV-410 Science of Climate Change final exam

Duration: 180 minutes, 15:15 – 18:15

Material allowed: 1 Din A4 page of notes (back and front) and calculator

Not allowed: phones, tablets or computers This exam is worth 50 % of your overall grade.

Instructions:

This exam consists of 15 pages.

Put your Sciper number on each page. If you need extra paper, ask the supervision team.

For multiple choice questions, each correct answer is 0.5 points, while each incorrect answer causes a subtraction of 0.25 points. The number of correct choices per question can vary.

The number of points is indicated for all non-multiple choice questions.

For open questions to be answered with text, respect the line limit. We cannot take into account information that is beyond the line limit. Please use your best ever hand writing and do not write super small to fit more words into the lines ②.

For figure interpretation, make sure to explicitly refer to any elaboration that you make on a figure (e.g. when you draw on it) on the exam sheet, and make any elaboration very visible. If you use extra sheets or draw something in a free spot on a page with questions, please make a note at the respective question that you did so, so the correction team can take this into account (unless it's beyond a line limit).

1. Figure 1 shows the measurement record of CO ₂ at the Jungfraujoch in Switzerland and the Mauna Loa observatory in Hawail, USA between approximately 2004 and 2016. 1A) The observatories are thousands of kilometers apart, but the measurements show roughly the same mixing ratios of CO ₂ . How can that be? (1.5 pnt, 3 lines)		
1B) Annual mixing ratios follow a seasonal cycle. Explain when and why we see the maximum mixing ratio at these two stations. Would the same observations be made at a mountain site in Southern Chile, why? (2 pnts, 6 lines)		
1C) For a (hypothetical) practical climate course at EPFL, you are asked to make your own CO ₂ measurements and compare them to the long-term observatories like Jungfraujoch or Mauna Loa. A teammate suggests to do the measurements on the campus in Lausanne, because it allows you to check quite easily if the instrument is still working correctly. Why is this not a good idea? (1 pnt, 3 lines)		




Figure 1: CO₂ observation from the Jungfraujoch, Switzerland (top panel) and Mauna Loa on Hawaii, USA (bottom panel). Sources: https://www.hfsjg.ch/de/stiftung/showcase/2016-juni/, https://gml.noaa.gov/ccgg/trends/graph.html

2. Which of the following statements is true?

- ☐ Longwave and shortwave radiative effects act on comparable time scales.
- ☐ The snow and ice albedo effect act on time scales longer than absorbing aerosol.
- □ CO₂ acts on shorter timescales than CH₄.
- □ O₃ acts on shorter time scales than CH₄.

3. Which processes force climate?

- ☐ Emission of air pollutants.
- ☐ Stratospheric aerosol injection.
- ☐ Sea level rise.
- ☐ Heat waves.

4. Why is carbon monoxide not a greenhouse gas? (3 lines, 2 pnts) 5. Why are the atmospheric windows critical for life on Earth? Both allow shortwave radiation to reach Earth's surface, which is necessary for photosynthesis and to maintain a reasonable surface temperature. They are not critical for life on Earth. One allows longwave radiation to escape to space. Without this window our planet would be a hot-house. One keeps detrimental UV radiation from reaching the Earth's surface. 6. If there were no convection on Earth and the vertical temperature profile were only determined by radiation, then The surface would be much hotter. The surface would be much colder. The upper troposphere would be much hotter.

☐ The upper troposphere would be much colder.

☐ The stratosphere would be much colder.

☐ There would be no change in stratospheric temperatures.

7. There are different types of radiative forcing and they work on different time scales. Fill in the table below with the correct time scales: days, weeks, months, years. Each timescale can only be used once. (2 pnts)

Type of radiative forcing and temperature adjustment	Time scale of temperature adjustment
Fixed surface temperature (land and ocean) forcing with	
adjustment of tropospheric and stratospheric temperatures	
Fixed sea surface temperature forcing (effective radiative	
forcing), with adjustment of land surface temperature,	
tropospheric and stratospheric temperature	
Fixed surface temperature forcing (radiative forcing – RF)	
with adjustment of stratospheric temperature	
Forcing with temperature adjustments of the land and	
ocean surface, deep ocean, troposphere and stratosphere	

	v is it a real challenge for climate scientists to determine the radiative forcing of house gases accurately? The radiative forcing of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere is two orders of magnitude smaller than the natural radiative fluxes. The natural radiative fluxes are highly variable and much larger than the radiative forcing induced by greenhouse gases. Measurements would have to be made at the top of the atmosphere, which only works well with satellites, but satellites have a spatial resolution that is too coarse.
9. The	Planck feedback factor is Positive Negative
10. Th	e water vapor feedback factor is Positive Negative

11A) You're having a nice evening out with your "Science of Climate Change" course peers at Satellite on the campus. You are discussing the course material before the exam and suddenly somebody interrupts your conversation and says: "Sorry, to have eavesdropped on your conversation about climate change, but I think you are mistaken. Today's CO ₂ concentrations are not the highest in the last 800'000 years. Temperatures in the Pleistocene were higher than today's." You quickly think and then point out "This is right, temperatures have been higher, but that does not mean that the CO ₂ mixing ratios have been higher. Actually, from ice cores we know that the current CO ₂ mixing ratios are the highest in the last 800'000 years." Now you still need to explain to the person that greenhouse gas concentrations are not the only factor that determine global surface temperature. (3 pnts, 8 lines).
11B) Your answer sounds plausible to the person who interrupted your conversation, but they are still not convinced that today's climate change is special compared to past climate change. They pull out a figure from their pocket (Figure 2 below based on Mann et al. (2009) that shows the medieval climate anomaly (MCA) and the little ice age (LIA). They argue: "Yes, ok, I see what you say, but just look at the medieval warm period on the map here. Greenland has been warm in the past and now it's getting warmer again. I don't see why now this should be special and only due to human greenhouse gas emissions." You look at the map and take out a figure from your pocket. What will you show the fellow and what argument will you make that today's warming is different and human-made? (2 pnts, 6 lines)




Figure 2. Reconstructed surface temperature pattern for the medieval climate anomaly MCA (950 to 1250 C.E.). Shown are the mean surface temperature anomaly. Anomalies are defined relative to the 1961–1990 reference period mean. Statistical skill is indicated by hatching [regions that pass validation tests at the P=0.05 level with respect to the reference (CE) are denoted by / (\) hatching]. Gray mask indicates regions for which inadequate long-term modern observational surface temperature data are available for the purposes of calibration and validation. Source: Mann et al. (2009),

http://www.meteo.psu.edu/holocene/public html/shared/articles/MannetalScience09.pdf, caption has been modified for the exam.

	El Niño Southern Oscillation			
	The monsoon			
	Atlantic Meridional Oceanic Circulation			
	North Atlantic Oscillation			
	Northern Annular Mode			
13. Based on Figure 3. answer the following:				
13A) E	Explain what a temperature anomaly is. (3 lines, 1 pnt)			

13B) Previous to 2023, when was likely the most recent strong El Niño year? (1 pnt, 1 line)		
 13C) Why is the global increase in air temperature over land (top panel) larger than over water (bottom panel)? Local air measurements can be influenced by local climate and therefore such a figure can contain bias. The difference in the trends is about a factor 3. This is within the measurement uncertainty and therefore there is no difference in the trends. The water from the ocean bottom cools the top ocean water quickly enough, so we see less temperature increase. The air has a smaller heat capacity. 		
13D) Explain how we can observe climate variability in below graph. Give one concrete example. (5 lines, 2 pnts)		
	_	
	_	




Figure 3. Global land (top) and ocean (bottom) temperature anomalies for November between 1850 and 2023 (including 2023). Source: https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/monitoring/climate-at-a-glance/global/time-series/globe/

14. You are doing your PhD in climate science and have developed a new regional version of a climate model with the aim of simulating the climate of Africa much better than any other regional model currently available. You could run the model in 20 different configurations that all have different equilibrium climate sensitivities (ECS), but you don't know the ECS yet. Before running any serious scenarios for the future, you need to make sure you run your model in a trustworthy configuration. Having access to surface temperature measurements at 1000 different stations across the continent with data for the last 10 years, and tree ring records from the rainforest area, how would you determine a model configuration with a trustworthy ECS?			
 Using the Gregory method to determine the ECS for each model version. Using the emergent constraints method with the many surface observations. Using the historic climate records to compare all model versions against them. 			
15. According to the Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S), the year 2023 has been 1.48°C above preindustrial temperatures and each single day was > 1°C above preindustrial records. The likelihood of the year 2024 being the first year in history to exceed a warming of ≥ 1.5°C is very high. If by 31.12.2024 C3S tells us that warming was indeed ≥ 1.5°C, will we have breached the Paris Agreement? Explain your answer. (2 pnts, 3 lines)			
16. The EU emitted 2.73 billion metric tons of CO ₂ in 2022 and 15 million metric tons of CH ₄ in 2020. The global warming potential of CH ₄ is 28 over 100 years, and 84 over 20 years. The atomic weights are of H, C and O are 1 amu, 12 amu and 16 amu, respectively. 16A) What is the share of the CH ₄ emissions in the total yearly carbon emissions of the EU if we only consider CO ₂ and CH ₄ and assume a short time horizon. For this calculation it does not matter that CO ₂ emissions are reported for 2022 and CH ₄ for 2020.			
 □ < 1 % □ 5.6 % □ 56 % □ > 60 % 			

16B) What is the fraction of greenhouse gas emissions that the EU could avoid over a short time horizon if they were to cut CH₄ emissions by 30 % immediately. How does the result change when calculating over a long time horizon? Calculate the fraction based on the total yearly greenhouse gas emissions of CO₂ and CH₄. Show your calculation. (4 pnts)

16C) It is the year 1990 and you live in a petro-state. You are the climate advisor to your country's environmental minister. Your country is progressive and has decided to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions by 50 % until 2030 based on the 1990 levels. Using the GWP20 for CH ₄ , your country could achieve this cut easily by mainly focusing on methane, which is easy in your country because there is nearly no agriculture, but a lot of natural gas extraction where large amounts of CH ₄ escape. Using the GWP100 for CH ₄ , substantial cuts in CO ₂ would also be required, which is much harder because the whole energy and transport system is based on fossil fuel combustion. The environmental ministry strongly favors using the GWP20 to achieve the goal. You disagree and give the minister 3 key arguments. Hint, you are thinking more long-term than 20 years. (3 pnts, 8 lines).			
17. Emissio	·		
17A) Based on Figure 4, what is the approximate (\pm 3 GtCO _{2e}) unconditional emissions gap for the 1.5°C target for the year 2035 considering the 90 th percentile for all values? You will need to eyeball the axis, hence the allowed uncertainty in emissions. (1 pnt, 1 line, indicate in the graph which values you are using)			
☐ Und☐ Cor	on of the following statements is true? conditional NDCs imply more rigorous emissions reductions. Inditional NDCs imply that countries only reduce their emissions if their population sees in a referendum to do so. Instead of the NDCs are tied to international climate finance.		




Figure 4. Global GHG emissions under different scenarios and the emissions gap in 2030 and 2035 (median estimate and tenth to ninetieth percentile range). Source: Fig. ES4, Emissions Gap report 2023

- 18. In their work from 2020, Cox et al. present an evaluation of daytime maximum versus nighttime minimum temperature change across the globe (see Figure 5).
- 18A) Based on panel (a), did day or nighttime temperatures increase more rapidly in (i) the US and (ii) Italy? (1 line, 2 pnts)
- 18B) Based on panel (d), explain the radiation effect observed in Italy. (6 lines, 2 pnts)




Figure 5. Spatial variation in diel warming asymmetry and change in daily cloud cover. From Cox et al. (2020) https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/gcb.15336.

- (a) Spatial variation in the warming asymmetry between daytime maximum temperature and night-time minimum temperature. In each pixel the 35 year change in the daytime temperature was subtracted from the 35 year change in the night-time temperature.
- (d) The relationship between the difference in change in temperature between the daytime and night-time and the change in daily cloud cover. The legend shows the log of the number of pixels, and *** denotes a statistical significance of p < .001.

Negative values for temperature change difference were converted to absolute values and the direction of greater change is represented by a sun (daytime) or a moon (night-time). The map projections are Behrmann's equal area.

19. You have been wanting to talk to your local Climate Policy Officer for a while that action is needed in this "decisive decade" to reach the 1.5°C goal by 2100, but they unfortunately did not have time to meet. Now you meet them by chance in the tram as you go to work. You know that the Climate Policy Officer will get off the tram at the next stop, which is in 1 minute. This is the prefect opportunity to tell them why we are in the "decisive decade" and that postponing action to 2032 as they suggested is not a good way forward. Make sure to highlight at least two important consequences of delayed action.

Note: One can reasonably speak 130 words per minute. (4 pnts)

20. You are a climate delegate for Small Island States and refuse to sign a COP agreement that allows the global community to overshoot emissions as much as to reach a maximum of 2.1°C within this century, under the condition that emissions will be reduced and made netnegative to reach 1.5°C by 2120. You are asked to justify your refusal. (7 lines, 3 pnts)