

①

Hartree-Fock method

Variational approach in which anti-symmetrized products of single-electrons wave functions are used. Notation

$$\Psi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det |\phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) \dots \phi_N(x_N)|$$

$\uparrow$
 $x_i = (r_i, \sigma_i)$
 $\downarrow$ normalization
 $\begin{cases} \alpha & \text{spin up} \\ \beta & \text{spin down} \end{cases}$

Slater determinant

$$\det |\phi_1(x_1) \phi_2(x_2) \dots \phi_N(x_N)| =$$

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1(x_1) & \phi_2(x_1) & \dots & \phi_N(x_1) \\ \phi_1(x_2) & \phi_2(x_2) & \dots & \phi_N(x_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_1(x_N) & \phi_2(x_N) & \dots & \phi_N(x_N) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{h}_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \hat{g}_{ij}$$

$$\hat{h}_i = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_i} \nabla_i^2 - \frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 \hat{r}_i} \quad (\text{in coord rep.})$$

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = +\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{|\hat{r}_i - \hat{r}_j|}$$

$\hookrightarrow |\hat{r}_i - \hat{r}_j|$

Atomic units will be used from now on $\hbar = m_e = e = 1$
 ($4\pi\epsilon_0$ also 1)

Note that $\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = 1$

(2)

$$E[4] = \langle 4 | \hat{H} | 4 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 4 | \sum_i \hat{h}_i | 4 \rangle + \langle 4 | \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \hat{g}_{ij} | 4 \rangle$$

$\uparrow$ depends only on $\hat{r}_i$
 $\uparrow$ depends only on $\hat{r}_{ij}$

To understand the structure of these terms consider the case

$$\psi(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1(x_1) & \phi_2(x_1) \\ \phi_1(x_2) & \phi_2(x_2) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2) - \phi_2(x_1)\phi_1(x_2)]$$

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \underbrace{\left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 - \frac{Z}{r_i} \right]}_{h_i} + \frac{1}{r_{12}}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 4 | \hat{H} | 4 \rangle &= \int dx_1 dx_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\phi_1^*(x_1)\phi_2^*(x_2) \\ &\quad - \phi_2^*(x_1)\phi_1^*(x_2)] \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 - \frac{Z}{r_i} \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2) \\ &\quad - \phi_2(x_1)\phi_1(x_2)] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int dx_1 dx_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\phi_1^*(x_1)\phi_2^*(x_2) - \phi_2^*(x_1)\phi_1^*(x_2)] \frac{1}{r_{12}}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2) - \phi_2(x_1)\phi_1(x_2)]$$

⊕ Note that

1/ The operators are the identity in the spin space
⇒ the spin part of orbitals assigned to the same electron must be the same

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle &= \int dr_1 dr_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\phi_1^*(r_1) \phi_2^*(r_2) - \phi_2^*(r_1) \phi_1^*(r_2) \right] \\ &\quad \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 \left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 - \frac{Z}{r_i} \right] \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\phi_1(r_1) \phi_2(r_2) - \phi_2(r_1) \phi_1(r_2) \right] \\ &+ \int dr_1 dr_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\phi_1^*(r_1) \phi_2^*(r_2) - \phi_2^*(r_1) \phi_1^*(r_2) \right] \frac{1}{r_{12}} \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\phi_1(r_1) \phi_2(r_2) - \phi_2(r_1) \phi_1(r_2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Let's focus on the first integral. This integral is the sum of two terms, identical except for the value of the label i . For example

$$\begin{aligned} \int dr_1 dr_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\phi_1^*(r_1) \phi_2^*(r_2) - \phi_2^*(r_1) \phi_1^*(r_2) \right] \\ \underbrace{\left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_1^2 - \frac{1}{r_1} \right]}_{h(1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\phi_1(r_1) \phi_2(r_2) - \phi_2(r_1) \phi_1(r_2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

This is the product of four terms, always "factored" in the variables r_1 and r_2 . i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \int dr_1 \phi_1^*(r_1) h(1) \phi_1(r_1) \int dr_2 \phi_2^*(r_2) \phi_2(r_2) \right. \\ - \int dr_1 \phi_2^*(r_1) h(1) \phi_2(r_1) \int dr_2 \phi_2^*(r_2) \phi_1(r_2) \\ - \int dr_1 \phi_1^*(r_1) h(1) \phi_2(r_1) \int dr_2 \phi_1^*(r_2) \phi_2(r_2) \\ \left. + \int dr_1 \phi_2^*(r_1) h(1) \phi_1(r_1) \int dr_2 \phi_1^*(r_2) \phi_1(r_2) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

④

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \langle \phi_k | \hat{h} | \phi_k \rangle$$

The other term in the first integral gives an identical contribution (show it), so in the end the "diagonal" part of the Hamiltonian gives

$$\langle \Psi | \sum_i \hat{h}_i | \Psi \rangle = \sum_k \langle \phi_k | \hat{h} | \phi_k \rangle$$

$\hat{h} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_k^2 + \frac{Z}{r_k}$

Let us now consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \int dr_1 dr_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\phi_1^*(r_1) \phi_2^*(r_2) - \phi_1^*(r_2) \phi_2^*(r_1) \right] \frac{1}{r_{12}} \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\phi_1(r_1) \phi_2(r_2) - \phi_1(r_2) \phi_2(r_1) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \int dr_1 \phi_1^*(r_1) \int dr_2 \frac{|\phi_2^*(r_2)|^2}{r_{12}} \phi_1(r_1) \right. \\ & - \int dr_1 \phi_1^*(r_1) \int dr_2 \frac{\phi_2^*(r_2) \phi_1(r_2)}{r_{12}} \phi_2(r_1) \\ & - \int dr_1 \phi_2^*(r_1) \int dr_2 \frac{\phi_1^*(r_2) \phi_2(r_2)}{r_{12}} \phi_1(r_1) \\ & \left. + \int dr_2 \phi_2^*(r_1) \int dr_2 \frac{|\phi_1^*(r_2)|^2}{r_{12}} \phi_2(r_1) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

⑤ Two types of terms: electron i assigned to the same orbital (Coulomb term) or to two different orbitals (exchange term). Define

$$\hat{J}_i: \phi_k(r_1) = \int dr_2 \frac{|\phi_i(r_2)|^2}{r_{12}} \phi_k(r_1)$$

(5)

and

$$\hat{K}_i \phi_k(r_1) = \int dr_2 \frac{\phi_k^*(r_2) \phi_k(r_2)}{r_{12}} \phi_i(r_2)$$

Then the second the "two body" integral can be formally written as

$$\langle \Psi | \frac{1}{r_{12}} | \Psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \langle \phi_k | \left(\sum_i (\hat{J}_i - \hat{K}_i) \right) | \phi_k \rangle$$

The structure of the terms does not change in the case of N spin-orbitals so, in general,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle &= \sum_k \langle \phi_k | \hat{h}_k | \phi_k \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \langle \phi_k | \left(\sum_i (\hat{J}_i - \hat{K}_i) \right) | \phi_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_k \langle \phi_k | \hat{h}_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\hat{J}_i - \hat{K}_i) | \phi_k \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This is the functional that must be minimized to obtain the best (variational) orbitals. Taking the functional derivative with respect to $\phi_k^*(r_1)$ one obtains a set of N equations for the spin-orbitals that can be written as (show this for the two orbital case)

$$\hat{F}_k \phi_k(x) = \epsilon_k \phi_k(x)$$

where

$$\hat{F}_k = \hat{h}_k + \sum_{i=1}^N (\hat{J}_i - \hat{K}_i)$$

is known as the Fock operator. The equation above looks like a time-independent Schrödinger equation BUT IT IS NOT. To see why, a few observations are in order:

⑥

1/ This is a highly non linear set of coupled equations for the $\phi_k(x)$. The operator $\hat{F}_k$ is the sum of three types of terms, and both $\hat{J}_i$ and $\hat{K}_i$ "hide" all orbitals, indeed

$$\hat{J}_i \phi_k(x) = \int dx_2 \frac{|\phi_i(x_2)|^2}{r_{12}} \phi_k(x)$$

and

$$\hat{K}_i \phi_k(x) = \int dx_2 \frac{\phi_i^*(x_2) \phi_k(x_2)}{r_{12}} \phi_k(x)$$

2/ The physical interpretation of The Coulomb and exchange terms is interesting

⊕ $\hat{J}_i$, also known as the Hartree potential, describes the (classical-like) interaction between two charge distributions described by $|\phi_i(x)|^2$ and $|\phi_k(x)|^2$ (see also structure on page ④). Due to the integral over x_2 this is a mean field like term.

• It has an unphysical aspect in that the sum over i includes k . This then represents the interaction of charge density k with itself: unphysical since an electron does not interact with itself (problem of the self-interaction, to be solved shortly)

* $\hat{K}_i$ has no classical analog. Formally, it originates from the antisymmetry of the electronic wave function. Note also that this term is non-local in space: The operator acts on $\phi_k(x)$ i.e. at x but the

(7)

value at x depends, via the integral, on the values of ϕ_k at all points x_2 (spin here is irrelevant, so $x \leftrightarrow r$).

- Finally this term automatically eliminates the self-interaction in the Fock equation. To see it, consider the term with $i=k$ in the sum

$$\hat{J}_k \phi_k(x) - \hat{K}_k \phi_k(x)$$

$$= \int dx_2 \frac{|\phi_k(x_2)|^2}{r_{12}} \phi_k(x) -$$

$$\int dx_2 \frac{\phi_k^*(x_2) \phi_k(x_2)}{r_{12}} \phi_k(x) = 0.$$

3// The single electron orbitals DO NOT HAVE a physical meaning. They represent the solutions of a non-interacting problem

4// The "eigenvalues" ϵ_k of the Fock equation do not have an immediate physical meaning

5// The solution of the equation must be self-consistent

(8)

Let's go back to the Two orbital case. The Fock system is

$$\hat{h}_1 \phi_1(r_1) + \int dr_2 \frac{|\phi_2(r_2)|^2}{r_{12}} \phi_1(r_1) - \int dr_2 \frac{\phi_2^*(r_2) \phi_1(r_2)}{r_{12}} \phi_2(r_1) = \epsilon_1 \phi_1(r_1)$$

$$\hat{h}_2 \phi_2(r_1) + \int dr_2 \frac{|\phi_1(r_2)|^2}{r_{12}} \phi_2(r_1) - \int dr_2 \frac{\phi_1^*(r_2) \phi_2(r_2)}{r_{12}} \phi_1(r_1) = \epsilon_2 \phi_2(r_2)$$

(Rocco Muli's project for details).

6// With this scheme, the ground state is described as a function of the $3N$ electronic coordinates (plus spin). This is a huge space.